arXiv:1404.2213v4 [math.PR] 24 Nov 2014

Comparison and converse comparison theorems for backward stochastic differential equations with Markov chain noise

Zhe Yang * Dimbinirina Ramarimbahoaka †
Robert J. Elliott ‡

Abstract

Comparison and converse comparison theorems are important parts of the research on backward stochastic differential equations. In this paper, we obtain comparison results for one dimensional backward stochastic differential equations with Markov chain noise, extending and generalizing previous work under natural and simplified hypotheses, and establish a converse comparison theorem for the same type of equation after giving the definition and properties of a type of nonlinear expectation: f-expectation.

1 Introduction

In 1990 Pardoux and Peng [21] considered general backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs for short) in the following form:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

Here B is a Brownian Motion and g is the driver or drift of the above BSDE.

Since then, comparison theorems of BSDEs have attracted extensive attention. El Karoui, Peng and Quenez [13], Cao and Yan [4] and Lin [19]

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada, yangzhezhe@gmail.com

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada, dimbikeli@gmail.com

‡Haskayne School of Business, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia, relliott@ucalgary.ca

derived comparison theorems for BSDEs with Lipschitz continuous coefficients. Liu and Ren [20] proved a comparison theorem for BSDEs with linear growth and continuous coefficients. Situ [26] obtained a comparison theorem for BSDEs with jumps. Zhang [29] deduced a comparison theorem for BSDEs with two reflecting barriers. Hu and Peng [16] established a comparison theorem for multidimensional BSDEs. Comparison theorems for BSDEs have received much attention because of their importance in applications. For example, the penalization method for reflected BSDEs is based on a comparison theorem (see[10], [12], [18] and [24]). Moreover, research on properties of g-expectations (see, Peng [23]) and the proof of a monotonic limit theorem for BSDEs (see, Peng [22]) both depend on comparison theorems. BSDEs with jumps were also introduced by many. Among others, we mention [1] and [25]. Crepey and Matoussi [9] considered BSDEs with jumps in a more general framework where a Brownian motion is incorporated in the model and a general random measure is used to model the jumps, which in [1] is a Poisson random measure.

It is natural to ask whether the converse of the above results holds or not. That is, if we can compare the solutions of two BSDEs with the same terminal conditions, can we compare the driver? Coquet, Hu, Mémin and Peng [8], Briand, Coquet, Mémin and Peng [2], and Jiang [17] derived converse comparison theorems for BSDEs, with no jumps. De Schemaekere [11], derived a converse comparison theorem for a model with jumps.

In 2012, van der Hoek and Elliott [27] introduced a market model where uncertainties are modeled by a finite state Markov chain, instead of Brownian motion or related jump diffusions, which are often used when pricing financial derivatives. The Markov chain has a semimartingale representation involving a vector martingale $M = \{M_t \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t \geq 0\}$. BSDEs in this framework were introduced by Cohen and Elliott [5] as

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

Cohen and Elliott [6] and [7] gave some comparison results for multidimensional BSDEs in the Markov Chain model under conditions involving not only the two drivers but also the two solutions. If we consider two one-dimensional BSDEs driven by the Markov chain, we extend the comparison result to a situation involving conditions only on the two drivers. Consequently our comparison results are easier to use for the one-dimensional case. Moreover, our result in the Markov chain framework needs less conditions on the drivers compared to those in Crepey and Matoussi [9] which are suitable for more general dynamics.

Cohen and Elliott [7] also introduced a non-linear expectation: f-expectation

based on the comparison results in the same paper. Using our comparison results, we shall give f-expectation a new definition for one-dimensional BSDEs with Markov chain and show similar properties as those in [7]. Then, we shall provide a converse comparison result for the same model with the use of f-expectation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the model and give some preliminary results. Section 3 shows our comparison result for one-dimensional BSDEs with Markov chain noise. We introduce the f-expectation and give its properties in Section 4. The last section establishes a converse comparison theorem.

2 The Model and Some Preliminary Results

Consider a finite state Markov chain. Following [27] and [28] of van der Hoek and Elliott, we assume the finite state Markov chain $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and the state space of X is identified with the set of unit vectors $\{e_1, e_2 \cdots, e_N\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $e_i = (0, \cdots, 1 \cdots, 0)'$ with 1 in the i-th position. Then the Markov chain has the semimartingale representation:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t A_s X_s ds + M_t. \tag{1}$$

Here, $A = \{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ is the rate matrix of the chain X and M is a vector martingale (See Elliott, Aggoun and Moore [15]). We assume the elements $A_{ij}(t)$ of $A = \{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ are bounded. Then the martingale M is square integrable.

Take $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_s; 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ to be the σ-algebra generated by the Markov process $X = \{X_t\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ to be its filtration. Since X is right continuous and has left limits, (written RCLL), the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is also right-continuous. The following is given in Elliott [14] as Lemma 2.21 :

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose V and Y are real valued processes defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, $V_t = Y_t$, a.s. If both processes are right continuous, then V and Y are indistinguishable, that is:*

$$P(V_t = Y_t, \text{ for any } t \geq 0) = 1.$$

The following product rule for semimartingales can be found in [14].

Lemma 2.2 (Product Rule for Semimartingales)**.** *Let Y and Z be two scalar RCLL semimartingales, with no continuous martingale part. Then*

$$Y_t Z_t = Y_T Z_T - \int_t^T Y_{s-} dZ_s - \int_t^T Z_{s-} dY_s - \sum_{t<s\leq T} \Delta Z_s \Delta Y_s.$$

Here, $\sum_{0<s\leq t} \Delta Z_s \Delta Y_s$ is the optional covariation of Y_t and Z_t and is also written as $[Z,Y]_t$.

For our (vector) Markov chain $X_t \in \{e_1, \cdots, e_N\}$, note that $X_t X_t' = \text{diag}(X_t)$. Also, $dX_t = A_t X_t dt + dM_t$. By Lemma 2.2, we know for $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t X_t' &= X_0 X_0' + \int_0^t X_{s-} dX_s' + \int_0^t (dX_s) X_{s-}' + \sum_{0<s\leq t} \Delta X_s \Delta X_s' \\
&= \text{diag}(X_0) + \int_0^t X_s (A_s X_s)' ds + \int_0^t X_{s-} dM_s' + \int_0^t A_s X_s X_{s-}' ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (dM_s) X_{s-}' + [X,X]_t \\
&= \text{diag}(X_0) + \int_0^t X_s X_s' A_s' ds + \int_0^t X_{s-} dM_s' + \int_0^t A_s X_s X_{s-}' ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (dM_s) X_{s-}' + [X,X]_t - \langle X,X\rangle_t + \langle X,X\rangle_t . \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\langle X,X\rangle$ is the unique predictable $N \times N$ matrix process such that $[X,X] - \langle X,X\rangle$ is a matrix valued martingale and write

$$L_t = [X,X]_t - \langle X,X\rangle_t , \quad t \in [0,T]. \qquad (3)$$

However,

$$X_t X_t' = \text{diag}(X_t) = \text{diag}(X_0) + \int_0^t \text{diag}(A_s X_s) ds + \int_0^t \text{diag}(M_s). \qquad (4)$$

Equating the predictable terms in (2) and (4), we have

$$\langle X,X\rangle_t = \int_0^t \text{diag}(A_s X_s) ds - \int_0^t \text{diag}(X_s) A_s' ds - \int_0^t A_s \text{diag}(X_s) ds. \qquad (5)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote for $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Euclidean norm $|\phi|_n = \sqrt{\phi'\phi}$ and for $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, the matrix norm $\|\psi\|_{n\times n} = \sqrt{Tr(\psi'\psi)}$.
Let Ψ be the matrix

$$\Psi_t = \text{diag}(A_t X_t) - \text{diag}(X_t) A_t' - A_t \text{diag}(X_t). \qquad (6)$$

Then $d\langle X, X\rangle_t = \Psi_t dt$. For any $t > 0$, Cohen and Elliott [5, 7], define the semi-norm $\|.\|_{X_t}$, for $C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times K}$ as :

$$\langle C, D\rangle_{X_t} = Tr(C'\Psi_t D),$$
$$\|C\|^2_{X_t} = \langle C, C\rangle_{X_t}.$$

We only consider the case where $C \in \mathbb{R}^N$, hence we introduce the semi-norm $\|.\|_{X_t}$ as:

$$\langle C, D\rangle_{X_t} = C'\Psi_t D,$$
$$\|C\|^2_{X_t} = \langle C, C\rangle_{X_t}. \tag{7}$$

It follows from equation (5) that

$$\int_t^T \|C\|^2_{X_s} ds = \int_t^T C' d\langle X, X\rangle_s C.$$

Consider a one-dimensional BSDE with the Markov chain noise as follows:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z'_s dM_s, \qquad t \in [0, T]. \tag{8}$$

Here the terminal condition ξ and the coefficient f are known. For $t > 0$, denote

$L^2(\mathcal{F}_t) := \{\mathbb{R}$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable random variables such that$E[|\xi|^2] < \infty\}$.

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 6.2 in Cohen and Elliott [5]) gives the existence and uniqueness result of solutions to the BSDEs driven by Markov chains.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and the predictable function $f : \Omega\times[0, T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition, in the sense that there exists two constants $l_1, l_2 > 0$ such that for each $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$|f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| \le l_1|y_1 - y_2| + l_2\|z_1 - z_2\|_{X_t}. \tag{9}$$

We also assume f satisfies

$$E[\int_0^T |f(t, 0, 0)|^2 dt] < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Then there exists a solution (Y, Z) to the BSDE (8). Moreover,

(1) Y is an $\mathbb{R}$-valued adapted RCLL process satisfying $E[\int_0^T |Y_s|^2 ds] < \infty$;

(2) Z is a predictable vector process in $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $E[\int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] < \infty$;

(3) this solution is unique up to indistinguishability for Y and equality $d\langle M, M\rangle_t \times\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for Z.

The following lemma is an extension result to stopping time of Lemma 2.3 (see Cohen and Elliott [7]).

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $\tau > 0$ is a stopping time such that there exists a real value T with $P(\tau > T) = 0$, $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_\tau)$ and f satisfies* (9) *and* (10)*, with integration from* 0 *to* τ*, then the BSDE*

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_{t\wedge\tau}^{\tau} Z_s' dM_s, \qquad t \geq 0 \tag{11}$$

has a unique solution satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of Lemma 2.3, with integration from 0 *to* τ*.*

See Campbell and Meyer [3] for the following definition:

Definition 2.5 (Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse)**.** *The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a square matrix Q is the matrix $Q^\dagger$ satisfying the properties:*

1) $QQ^\dagger Q = Q$

2) $Q^\dagger QQ^\dagger = Q^\dagger$

3) $(QQ^\dagger)' = QQ^\dagger$

4) $(Q^\dagger Q)' = Q^\dagger Q$.

Recall the matrix Ψ given by (6). We adapt Lemma 3.5 in Cohen and Elliott [7] for our one-dimensional framework as follows:

Lemma 2.6. *For any driver satisfying* (9) *and* (10)*, for any Y and Z*

$$P(f(t, Y_{t-}, Z_t) = f(t, Y_{t-}, \Psi_t\Psi_t^\dagger Z_t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, +\infty]) = 1$$

and

$$\int_0^t Z_s' dM_s = \int_0^t (\Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger Z_s)' dM_s.$$

Therefore, without any loss of generality, assume $Z = \Psi\Psi^\dagger Z$.

3 A comparison theorem for one-dimensional BSDEs with Markov chain noise

Assumption 3.1. *Assume the Lipschitz constant l_2 of the driver f given in* (9) *satisfies*

$$l_2\|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N}\sqrt{6m} \leq 1, \qquad \text{for any } \ t \in [0, T],$$

where Ψ is given in (6) *and $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0, T]$.*

Assumption 3.2. *Assume the Lipschitz constant l_2 of the driver f given in* (9) *satisfies*

$$l_2\|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N}\sqrt{6m} < 1, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0,T],$$

where Ψ is given in (6) *and $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$.*

For $i = 1, 2$, suppose $(Y^{(i)}, Z^{(i)})$ is the solution of one-dimensional BSDE with Markov chain noise:

$$Y_t^{(i)} = \xi_i + \int_t^T f_i(s, Y_s^{(i)}, Z_s^{(i)})ds - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(i)})' dM_s, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and $f_1, f_2 : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy some conditions such that the above two BSDEs have unique solutions. Moreover assume f_1 satisfies* (9) *and Assumption 3.1. If $\xi_1 \le \xi_2$, a.s. and $f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}) \le f_2(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)})$, a.e., a.s., then*

$$P(Y_t^{(1)} \le Y_t^{(2)}, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Moreover, if f_1 satisfies Assumption 3.2,

$$Y_0^{(1)} = Y_0^{(2)} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}) = f_2(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}), & \text{a.e., a.s.;} \\ \xi_1 = \xi_2, & \text{a.s.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $Y_t = Y_t^{(2)} - Y_t^{(1)}$, $Z_t = Z_t^{(2)} - Z_t^{(1)}$, $\xi = \xi_2 - \xi_1$, $f_s = f_2(s, Y_s^{(2)}, Z_s^{(2)}) - f_1(s, Y_s^{(2)}, Z_s^{(2)})$, and define

$$a_s = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f_1(s, Y_s^{(2)}, Z_s^{(2)}) - f_1(s, Y_s^{(1)}, Z_s^{(2)})}{Y_s}, & \text{if} \quad Y_s \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad Y_s = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_s = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f_1(s, Y_s^{(1)}, Z_s^{(2)}) - f_1(s, Y_s^{(1)}, Z_s^{(1)})}{|Z_s|^2} Z_s', & \text{if} \quad Z_s \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad Z_s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T (a_s Y_s + b_s Z_s + f_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 3.4 (Duality). *For $t \in [0,T]$, consider the one-dimensional SDE*

$$\begin{cases} dU_s = U_s a_s ds + U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s, \quad s \in [t,T]; \\ U_t = 1. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Then the solution of the one-dimensional linear BSDE (12) *satisfies*

$$P(Y_t = E[\xi U_T + \int_t^T f_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t], \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Proof. Recall $[M,M]_t = [X,X]_t = \langle X,X\rangle_t + L_t$ and $d\langle X,X\rangle_t = \Psi_t dt$. Applying Ito's formula on $U_s Y_s$, $t \le s \le T$, and using Lemma 2.6, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
d(U_s Y_s) &= U_{s-} dY_s + Y_{s-} dU_s + d[U,Y]_s \\
&= -U_s a_s Y_s ds - U_s b_s Z_s ds - U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s + Y_s U_s a_s ds \\
&\quad + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' \Delta M_s U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' \Delta M_s \\
&= -U_s b_s Z_s ds - U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s \\
&\quad + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' \Delta M_s \Delta M_s' \Psi_s^\dagger U_{s-} b_{s-}' \\
&= -U_s b_s Z_s ds - U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s \\
&\quad + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' d[M,M]_s \Psi_s^\dagger U_{s-} b_{s-}' \\
&= -U_s b_s Z_s ds - U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s \\
&\quad + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' \Psi_s \Psi_s^\dagger U_s b_s' ds + Z_s' dL_s \Psi_s^\dagger U_{s-} b_{s-}' \\
&= -U_s b_s Z_s ds - U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s \\
&\quad + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' U_s b_s' ds + Z_s' dL_s \Psi_s^\dagger U_{s-} b_{s-}' \\
&= -U_s f_s ds + U_{s-} Z_s' dM_s + Y_{s-} U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s + Z_s' dL_s \Psi_s^\dagger U_{s-} b_{s-}'.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of above equation from t to T and taking the expectation given $\mathcal{F}_t$, we deduce for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$Y_t = E[\xi U_T + \int_t^T f_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since $Y_\cdot$ and $E[\xi U_T + \int_\cdot^T f_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_\cdot]$ are both RCLL, by Lemma 2.1, the result holds. □

Lemma 3.5. *For any $C \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$\|C\|_{X_t} \leq \sqrt{3m}|C|_N, \quad \textit{for any } t \in [0,T],$$

where $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. Since the elements $A_{ij}(t)$ of $A = \{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ are bounded, there exists a constant $m > 0$ such that $\|A_t\|_{N\times N} \leq m$, for any $t \in [0,T]$. From the definition in (7), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C\|^2_{X_t} &\leq |C|^2_N \cdot \|\mathrm{diag}(A_tX_t) - \mathrm{diag}(X_t)A_t' - A_t\mathrm{diag}(X_t)\|_{N\times N} \\
&\leq |C|^2_N \cdot (\|\mathrm{diag}(A_tX_t)\|_{N\times N} + \|\mathrm{diag}(X_t)A_t'\|_{N\times N} + \|A_t\mathrm{diag}(X_t)\|_{N\times N}) \\
&\leq |C|^2_N \cdot (|A_tX_t|_N + |X_t|_N \cdot \|A_t\|_{N\times N} + \|A_t\|_{N\times N} \cdot |X_t|_N) \\
&\leq |C|^2_N \cdot (\|A_t\|_{N\times N} \cdot |X_t|_N + |X_t|_N \cdot \|A_t\|_{N\times N} + \|A_t\|_{N\times N} \cdot |X_t|_N) \\
&\leq 3|C|^2_N \cdot \|A_t\|_{N\times N} \leq 3m|C|^2_N \ .
\end{aligned}$$

□

We go back to the proof of Theorem 3.3. We follow the notations in Lemma 3.4. Denote

$$dV_s = a_s ds + b_{s-}(\Psi^\dagger_s)' dM_s, \quad s \in [0,T].$$

The solution to SDE (13) is given by the Doléan-Dade exponential (See [14]):

$$U_s = \exp(V_s - \frac{1}{2}\langle V^c, V^c\rangle_s) \prod_{0\leq u\leq s} (1+\Delta V_u)e^{-\Delta V_u}, \quad s \in [0,T],$$

where

$$\Delta V_u = b_{u-}(\Psi^\dagger_u)'\Delta M_u = b_{u-}(\Psi^\dagger_u)'\Delta X_u.$$

If f_1 satisfies Assumption 3.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta V_u| &\leq |b_{u-}|_N \cdot \|(\Psi^\dagger_u)'\|_{N\times N} \cdot |\Delta X_u|_N \\
&\leq l_2 \frac{\|Z_u\|_{X_u}}{|Z_u|_N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6m}l_2}\sqrt{2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{3m}l_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{6m}l_2}\sqrt{2} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

hence we have $U_s \geq 0$ for any $s \in [0,T]$. By Lemma 3.4, we know for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$Y_t = E[\xi U_T + \int_t^T f_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t], \ a.s.$$

As $\xi \geq 0$, a.s., and $f_s \geq 0$, a.e., a.s., it follows that for any $t \in [0,T]$, $Y_t \geq 0$, a.s. Since $Y_\cdot$ and $E[\xi U_T + \int_\cdot^T f_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_\cdot]$ are both RCLL, by Lemma 2.1,

$$P(Y_t \geq 0, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Moreover, if f_1 satisfies Assumption 3.2, then $U_s > 0$, for any $s \in [0,T]$. Hence,

$$Y_0 = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \xi = 0, \ a.s., \text{ and } f_t = 0, \ a.e., \ a.s.$$

4 f-expectation

Now we introduce the nonlinear expectation: f-expectation. The f-expectation, for a fixed driver f, is an interpretation of the solution to a BSDE as a type of nonlinear expectation. Here, we give the one-dimensional case of the definitions and properties in Cohen and Elliott [7], based on our comparison theorems.

Assumption 4.1. *Suppose $f : \Omega \times [0,T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (9) and (10) such that*

(I) For all $(t,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $f(t,y,0) = 0$, a.s.;

(II) For all $(y,z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \to f(t,y,z)$ is continuous.

In this section, we suppose the driver f satisfies Assumption 3.2 and Assumption 4.1. Before introducing the f-expectation, we shall give the following definition:

Definition 4.2. *For a fixed driver f, given $t \in [0,T]$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, define for each $s \in [0,t]$,*

$$\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi) = Y_s,$$

where (Y,Z) is the solution of

$$Y_s = \xi + \int_s^t f(u, Y_u, Z_u) du - \int_s^t Z'_u dM_u, \quad s \in [0,t].$$

Proposition 4.3. *$\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\cdot)$ defined above satisfies:*
(1) For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_s)$, $\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi) = \xi$, a.s.
(2) If for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2$, a.s., then $\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi_1) \geq \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi_2)$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi_1) = \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi_2) \Longleftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2, \ \ a.s.$$

(3) For any $r \leq s \leq t$, $\mathcal{E}^f_{r,s}(\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi)) = \mathcal{E}^f_{r,t}(\xi)$, a.s.
(4) For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, $\mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi) = \mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\mathbb{I}_A \xi)$, a.s.

Proof. (1) is clear. (2) is the result of Theorem 3.3. For (3), for any $r \leq s \leq t$, Y_r is the solution at time r of the following BSDE, with terminal time t and terminal value ξ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_r &= \xi + \textstyle\int_r^t f(u, Y_u, Z_u)du - \int_r^t Z'_u dM_u \\ &= \xi + \textstyle\int_s^t f(u, Y_u, Z_u)du - \int_s^t Z'_u dM_u + \int_r^s f(u, Y_u, Z_u)du - \int_r^s Z'_u dM_u \\ &= Y_s + \textstyle\int_r^s f(u, Y_u, Z_u)du - \int_r^s Z'_u dM_u. \end{aligned}$$

This means that Y_r is also the value of a solution to the BSDE with same driver f and terminal time s, that is $\mathcal{E}^f_{r,s}(Y_s) = Y_r$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{E}^f_{r,s}(\mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi)) = \mathcal{E}^f_{r,s}(Y_s) = Y_r = \mathcal{E}^f_{r,t}(\xi).$$

To prove (4), consider the following two BSDEs

$$Y^{(1)}_s = \xi + \int_s^t f(u, Y^{(1)}_u, Z^{(1)}_u)du - \int_s^t (Z^{(1)}_u)' dM_u, \quad s \in [0,t]$$

and

$$Y^{(2)}_s = \mathbb{I}_A \xi + \int_s^t f(u, Y^{(2)}_u, Z^{(2)}_u)du - \int_s^t (Z^{(2)}_u)' dM_u, \quad s \in [0,t].$$

Since $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, we know by Assumption 4.1 (I) that $\mathbb{I}_A f(u, Y^{(i)}_u, Z^{(i)}_u) = f(u, \mathbb{I}_A Y^{(i)}_u, \mathbb{I}_A Z^{(i)}_u)$, $i = 1, 2$, moreover,

$$\mathbb{I}_A Y^{(1)}_s = \mathbb{I}_A \xi + \int_s^t f(u, \mathbb{I}_A Y^{(1)}_u, \mathbb{I}_A Z^{(1)}_u)du - \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_A (Z^{(1)}_u)' dM_u, \quad s \in [0,t]$$

and

$$\mathbb{I}_A Y^{(2)}_s = \mathbb{I}_A \xi + \int_s^t f(u, \mathbb{I}_A Y^{(2)}_u, \mathbb{I}_A Z^{(2)}_u)du - \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_A (Z^{(2)}_u)' dM_u, \quad s \in [0,t].$$

By uniqueness of solution of the BSDE given in Lemma 2.3, it follows that $\mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\xi) = \mathbb{I}_A Y^{(1)}_s = \mathbb{I}_A Y^{(2)}_s = \mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}^f_{s,t}(\mathbb{I}_A \xi)$. □

Definition 4.4. *Define, for $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and a driver f,*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(\xi) := \mathcal{E}^f_{0,T}(\xi), \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_t) := \mathcal{E}^f_{t,T}(\xi).$$

$\mathcal{E}_f(\xi)$ is called f-expectation and $\mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_t)$ is called conditional f-expectation.

The following properties follows directly from Definition 4.4, Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $s, t \leq T$, be two stopping times.*
(1) For $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$, $\mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_t) = \xi$, a.s.
(2) If for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $\xi_1 \geq \xi_2$, a.s., then $\mathcal{E}_f(\xi_1|\mathcal{F}_t) \geq \mathcal{E}_f(\xi_2|\mathcal{F}_t)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_f(\xi_1) = \mathcal{E}_f(\xi_2) \Longleftrightarrow \xi_1 = \xi_2$, a.s.
(3) For any $s \leq t$, $\mathcal{E}_f(\mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_t)|\mathcal{F}_s) = \mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_s)$, a.s. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_f(\mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_s)) = \mathcal{E}_f(\xi)$.
(4) For any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $\mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}_f(\xi|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{I}_A \mathcal{E}_f(\mathbb{I}_A \xi|\mathcal{F}_t)$, a.s.

5 A Converse Comparison Theorem, for one-dimensional BSDE with Markov chain noise

Our converse comparison theorem uses the theory of an f-expectation in the previous section. For $i = 1, 2$, consider the BSDEs with same terminal condition ξ:

$$Y^{(i)}_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_i(s, Y^{(i)}_s, Z^{(i)}_s)ds - \int_t^T (Z^{(i)}_s)' dM_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose f_1 satisfies Assumption 3.2, Assumption 4.1 and f_2 satisfies Assumption 4.1. Then the following are equivalent:*
i) For any $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $\mathcal{E}_{f_1}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f_2}(\xi)$;
ii) $P(f_1(t, y, z) \leq f_2(t, y, z),$ for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N) = 1$.

Proof. ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) is given by Theorem 3.3.
Let us prove i) $\Rightarrow$ ii). For each $\delta > 0$ and $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, introduce the stopping time:

$$\tau_\delta = \tau_\delta(y, z) = \inf\{t \geq 0;\ f_2(t, y, z) \leq f_1(t, y, z) - \delta\} \wedge T.$$

Suppose ii) does not hold, then there exists $\delta > 0$ and $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $P(\tau_\delta(y, z) < T) > 0$. For (δ, y, z) such that $P(\tau_\delta(y, z) < T) > 0$, consider for $i = 1, 2$, the following SDE

$$\begin{cases} dY^i(t) = -f_i(t, Y^i(t), z)dt + zdM_t, \quad t \in [\tau_\delta, T], \\ Y^i(\tau_\delta) = y. \end{cases}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, the above equation admits a unique solution $Y^{(i)}$ (See Elliott[14], Chapter 14). Define:

$$\tau'_\delta = \inf\{t \geq \tau_\delta;\ f_2(t, Y^{(2)}(t), z) \geq f_1(t, Y^{(1)}(t), z) - \frac{\delta}{2}\} \wedge T,$$

with $\tau'_\delta = T$ if $\tau_\delta = T$. We know $\Omega = \{\tau_\delta \leq \tau'_\delta\} = \{\tau_\delta < \tau'_\delta\} \cup \{\tau_\delta = \tau'_\delta\}$, which is a disjoint union, and $\{\tau_\delta = \tau'_\delta\} = \{\tau_\delta = T\}$. Hence, $\{\tau_\delta < \tau'_\delta\} = \{\tau_\delta = T\}^c = \{\tau_\delta < T\}$. It follows that $P(\tau_\delta < \tau'_\delta) > 0$.
Set $\tilde{Y} = Y^{(1)} - Y^{(2)}$, then

$$d\tilde{Y}(t) = (f_2(t, Y^{(2)}(t), z) - f_1(t, Y^{(1)}(t), z))dt.$$

Hence, by taking the integral of the above from τ_δ to τ'_δ and $\tilde{Y}(\tau_\delta) = 0$, we have

$$\tilde{Y}(\tau'_\delta) = Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta) - Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta) \leq -\frac{\delta}{2}(\tau'_\delta - \tau_\delta) \leq 0. \tag{14}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d\tilde{Y}(t)}{dt}\mathbb{I}_{\{t\in[\tau_\delta,\tau'_\delta)\}} \leq -\frac{\delta}{2}\mathbb{I}_{\{t\in[\tau_\delta,\tau'_\delta)\}}, \quad \tilde{Y}(\tau_\delta) = 0.$$

So we deduce

$$\tilde{Y}(\tau'_\delta) = Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta) - Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta) \leq -\frac{\delta}{2}(\tau'_\delta - \tau_\delta) < 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \{\tau_\delta < \tau'_\delta\}. \tag{15}$$

Note, $(Y^{(i)}, z)$, $i = 1, 2$ are solutions of BSDEs with coefficients $(f_i, Y^{(i)}(T))$. It follows from Proposition 4.5 (3), that

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\delta}) = \mathcal{E}_{f_1}(\mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(1)}(T)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau'_\delta})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\delta}) = \mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(1)}(T)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\delta}) = y,$$

and similarly

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_2}(Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\delta}) = \mathcal{E}_{f_2}(Y^{(2)}(T)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_\delta}) = y.$$

Moreover, again from Proposition 4.5 (3),

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta)) = \mathcal{E}_{f_2}(Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)) = y.$$

On the other hands, by (14) and (15), we know

$$Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta) \leq Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)$$

and

$$P(Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta) < Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)) > 0.$$

It then follows from Definition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 (2) that

$$y = \mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(1)}(\tau'_\delta)) < \mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)),$$

but from i), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{f_1}(Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)) \leq \mathcal{E}_{f_2}(Y^{(2)}(\tau'_\delta)) = y,$$

which is a contradiction. So we conclude ii) holds. □

References

[1] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn and E. Pardoux, Backward stochastic differential equations and integral-partial differential equations, Stochastics and Stochastics Report, **60**, 57-83 (1996).

[2] P. Briand, F. Coquet, J.Memin, S. Peng, A Converse Comparison Theorem for BSDEs and Related Properties of g-expectation, Electron.Comm.Probab., **5**, 101-117 (2000).

[3] L. Campbell and D. Meyer, Generalized inverses of linear transformations, SIAM, (2008).

[4] Z. G. Cao and J. A. Yan, A comparison theorem for solutions of stochastic differential equations. Adv. Math. (Chinese) **28**, 304-308 (1999).

[5] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations in Markov Chains., Communications on Stochastic Analysis **2**, 251-262 (2008).

[6] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Comparison Theorems for Finite State Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, in Contemporary Quantitative Finance, Springer (2010).

[7] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Comparisons for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations on Markov Chains and Relate No-arbitrage Conditions, Annals of Applied Probability, **20**(1), 267-311 (2010).

[8] F. Coquet, Y. Hu, J. Mémin, and S. Peng, A general Converse Comparison Theprem for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **1**, 577-581 (2001).

[9] S. Crepey and A. Matoussi, Reflected and doubly reflected BSDEs with jumps: a priori estimates and comparison, *The Annals of Applied Probability*, **18**, No. 5, 2041-2069 (2008).

[10] J. Cvitanic and I. Karatzas, Backward stochastic differential equations with reflection and Dynkin games, Ann. Probab., **24**, 4, 2024-2056 (1996).

[11] X. De Scheemaekere, A converse comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations with jumps, Statistics and Probability Letters, **81**, 298-301 (2011).

[12] N. El Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng and M. C. Quenez, Reflected solutions of backwars SDE's, and selated obstacle problems for PDE's, The Annals of Probability **25**, 702-737 (1997).

[13] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M. C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance, Mathematical Finance **7**, 1, 1-71 (1997).

[14] R. J. Elliott, Stochastic calculus and applications, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin (1982).

[15] R. J. Elliott, L. Aggoun and J. B. Moore, Hidden markov models: estimation and control, Applications of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York **29** (1994).

[16] Y. Hu and S. Peng, On the comparison theorem for multidimensional BSDEs, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **343**, 135-140 (2006).

[17] L. Jiang, Converse comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations, Statistics and Probaility Letters, **71**, 173-183 (2005).

[18] J. P. Lepeltier and J. San Martin, Backward SDEs with two barriers and continuous coefficient: an existence result, J. Appl. Prob., **41**, 162-175 (2000).

[19] Q. Q. Lin, A comparison theorem for backward stochastic differential equations, (Chinese), J. Huazhong Univ. Sci. Tech., **29**, 1, 1-3 (2001).

[20] J. C. Liu and J. G. Ren, Comparison theorem for solutions of backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficient, Statist. Probab. Lett., **56**, 1, 93-100 (2002).

[21] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, Adapted solution of a backward differential equation, Systems Controls Lett., **14**, 61-74 (1990).

[22] S. Peng, Monotonic limit theorem of BSDE and nonlinear decomposition theorem of Doob-Meyer's type, Probab. Theory Related Fields, **113**, 4, 473-499 (1999).

[23] S. Peng, Nonlinear expectations, nonlinear evaluations and risk measures, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg **2004**, 165-253 (2004).

[24] S. Peng and M. Y. Xu, The smallest g-supermartingale and reflected BSDE with single and double L^2 obstacles, Probabilités et Statistiques, **41**, 605-630 (2005).

[25] M. Royer, Backward stochastic differential equations with jumps and related non-linear expectations, Stochastic Process, Appl. **116**, 1358-1376 (2006).

[26] R. Situ, Comparison theorem of solutions to BSDE with jumps, and viscosity solution to a generalized Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation, Control of distributed parameter and stochastic systems (Hangzhou, (1998)), 275-282, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA (1999).

[27] J. van der Hoek and R. J. Elliott, Asset pricing using finite state Markov chain stochastic discount functions, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, **30**, 865-894 (2010).

[28] J. van der Hoek and R. J. Elliott, American option prices in a Markov chain model, Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry, **28**, 35-39 (2012).

[29] T. S. Zhang, A comparison theorem for solutions of backward stochastic differential equations with two reflecting barriers and its applications, Probabilistic methods in fluids, 324-331, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ (2003).